arXiv:1609.06081v1 [math.CV] 20 Sep 2016

Differential inequalities and a Marty-type criterion for quasi-normality

Jürgen Grahl, Tomer Manket and Shahar Nevo

September 21, 2016

Abstract

We show that the family of all holomorphic functions f in a domain D satisfying

$$\frac{|f^{(k)}|}{1+|f|}(z) \leq C \qquad \text{for all } z \in D$$

(where k is a natural number and $C > 0$) is quasi-normal. Furthermore, we give a general counterexample to show that for $\alpha > 1$ and $k \geq 2$ the condition

$$\frac{|f^{(k)}|}{1+|f|^{\alpha}}(z) \leq C \qquad \text{for all } z \in D$$

does not imply quasi-normality.

Keywords: quasi-normal families, normal families, Marty's theorem, differential inequalities

Mathematics Subject Classification: 30D45, 30A10

1 Introduction and statement of results

One of the key results in the theory of normal families of meromorphic functions is Marty's theorem [11] which says that a family $\mathcal{F}$ of meromorphic functions in a domain D in the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$ is normal (in the sense of Montel) if and only if the family $\left\{f^{\#} : f \in \mathcal{F}\right\}$ of the corresponding spherical derivatives $f^{\#} := \frac{|f'|}{1+|f|^2}$ is locally uniformly bounded in D.

A substantial (and best possible) improvement of the direction "$\Longleftarrow$" in Marty's theorem is due to A. Hinkkanen [7]: A family of meromorphic (resp. holomorphic) functions is already normal if the corresponding spherical derivatives are bounded on the preimages of a set consisting of five (resp. three) elements. (An analogous result for normal functions was earlier proved by P. Lappan [8].)

In several previous papers [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 10] we studied the question how normality (or quasi-normality) can be characterized in terms of the more general quantity

$$\frac{|f^{(k)}|}{1+|f|^{\alpha}} \qquad \text{where } k \in \mathbb{N},\ \alpha > 0$$

rather than the spherical derivative $f^{\#}$.

Before summarizing the main results from these studies we would like to remind the reader of the definition of quasi-normality and also to introduce some notations.

A family $\mathcal{F}$ of meromorphic functions in a domain $D \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ is said to be *quasi-normal* if from each sequence $\{f_n\}_n$ in $\mathcal{F}$ one can extract a subsequence which converges locally uniformly (with respect to the spherical metric) on $D \setminus E$ where the set E (which may depend on $\{f_n\}_n$) has no accumulation point in D. If the exceptional set E can always be chosen to have at most q points, yet for some sequence there actually occur q such points, then we say that $\mathcal{F}$ is *quasi-normal of order q*.

We set $\Delta(z_0, r) := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z - z_0| < r\}$ for the open disk with center $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ and radius $r > 0$. By $\mathcal{H}(D)$ we denote the space of all holomorphic functions and by $\mathcal{M}(D)$ the space of all meromorphic functions in a domain D. We write P_f and Z_f for the set of poles resp. for the set of zeros of a meromorphic function f, and we use the notation "$f_n \overset{\chi}{\Longrightarrow} f$ (in D)" to indicate that the sequence $\{f_n\}_n$ converges to f locally uniformly in D (with respect to the spherical metric).

The Marty-type results known so far can be summarized as follows.

Theorem A. *Let k be a natural number, $\alpha > 0$ be a real number and $\mathcal{F}$ be a family of functions meromorphic in a domain D. Consider the family*

$$\mathcal{F}^*_{k,\alpha} := \left\{ \frac{|f^{(k)}|}{1+|f|^{\alpha}} : f \in \mathcal{F} \right\}.$$

Then the following holds.

(a) *[10, 6] If each $f \in \mathcal{F}$ has zeros only of multiplicity $\geq k$ and if $\mathcal{F}^*_{k,\alpha}$ is locally uniformly bounded in D, then $\mathcal{F}$ is normal.*

(b) *(Y. Xu [16]) Assume that there is a value $w^* \in \mathbb{C}$ and a constant $M < \infty$ such that for each $f \in \mathcal{F}$ we have $|f'(z)| + \cdots + |f^{(k-1)}(z)| \leq M$ whenever $f(z) = w^*$ and that there exists a set $E \subset \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ consisting of $k+4$ elements such that for all $f \in \mathcal{F}$ and all $z \in D$ we have*

$$f(z) \in E \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \frac{|f^{(k)}|}{1+|f|^{k+1}}(z) \leq M. \tag{1.1}$$

Then $\mathcal{F}$ is normal.

If all functions in $\mathcal{F}$ are holomorphic, this also holds if one merely assumes that E has at least 3 elements.

(c) *[4] If $\alpha > 1$ and if each $f \in \mathcal{F}$ has poles only of multiplicity $\geq \frac{k}{\alpha-1}$, then the normality of $\mathcal{F}$ implies that $\mathcal{F}^*_{k,\alpha}$ is locally uniformly bounded.*

This does not hold in general for $0 < \alpha \leq 1$.

Remarks.

(1) In (a) and (b) the assumption on the multiplicities of the zeros resp. the (slightly weaker) condition on the existence of the value w^* is essential. The condition $\frac{|f^{(k)}(z)|}{1+|f(z)|^\alpha} \leq C$ itself does not imply normality. Indeed, each polynomial of degree at most $k-1$ satisfies this condition, but those polynomials only form a quasi-normal, but not a normal family.

(2) It's worthwile to mention two special cases of Theorem A (c):

- If $\alpha \geq k+1$ and if $\mathcal{F}$ is normal, then the conclusion that $\mathcal{F}^*_{k,\alpha}$ is locally uniformly bounded holds without any further assumptions on the multiplicities of the poles. This had been proved already by S.Y. Li and H. Xie [9].
- If all functions in $\mathcal{F}$ are holomorphic, then for any $\alpha > 1$ the normality of $\mathcal{F}$ implies that $\mathcal{F}^*_{k,\alpha}$ is locally uniformly bounded [6, Theorem 1 (c)].

In this paper we further study the differential inequality $\frac{|f^{(k)}(z)|}{1+|f(z)|^\alpha} \leq C$, but this time without any additional assumptions on the multiplicities of the zeros of the functions under consideration. It turns out that for $\alpha = 1$ (and hence trivially for $\alpha < 1$) this differential inequality implies quasi-normality, but that this doesn't hold for $\alpha > 1$.

Theorem 1. *Let $k \geq 2$ be a natural number, $C > 0$ and $D \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ a domain. Then the family*

$$\mathcal{F}_k := \left\{ f \in \mathcal{H}(D) : \frac{|f^{(k)}(z)|}{1+|f(z)|} \leq C \right\}$$

is quasi-normal.

Remarks.

(1) In Theorem 1 we restrict to holomorphic rather than meromorphic functions, since if a meromorphic function f has a pole at z_0, then $\frac{|f^{(k)}(z)|}{1+|f(z)|} \leq C$ is clearly violated in a certain neighborhood of z_0.

(2) The result also holds for $k = 1$, and in this case we can even conclude that $\mathcal{F}$ is normal. However, this is just a trivial consequence of Hinkkanen's extension of Marty's theorem since the condition $\frac{|f'(z)|}{1+|f(z)|} \leq C$ clearly implies that the derivatives f' (and hence the spherical derivatives $f^\#$) are uniformly bounded on the preimages of five finite values.

(3) In Theorem 1, for $k \geq 2$ the order of quasi-normality can be arbitrarily large. This is demonstrated by the sequence of the functions

$$f_n(z) := n\left(e^z - e^{\zeta z}\right)$$

(where $\zeta := e^{2\pi i/k}$) on the strip $D := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : -1 < \mathrm{Re}((1-\zeta)z) < 1\}$. Indeed, $f_n^{(k)} = f_n$, so the differential inequality from Theorem 1 trivially holds, but every subsequence of $\{f_n\}_n$ is not normal exactly at the infinitely many common zeros $z_j = \frac{2\pi ij}{1-\zeta} \in D$ $(j \in \mathbb{Z})$ of the f_n, so $\{f_n\}_n$ is quasi-normal of infinite order.

(4) In the spirit of Bloch's heuristic principle, one might ask for a corresponding result for entire functions. However, since the exponential function (and more generally, entire solutions of the linear differential equation $f^{(k)} = C \cdot f$) satisfy the condition $\frac{|f^{(k)}(z)|}{1+|f(z)|} \leq C$, there doesn't seem to be a natural analogue for entire functions.

(5) For $\alpha > 1$ and $k \geq 2$ the condition $\frac{|f^{(k)}(z)|}{1+|f(z)|^\alpha} \leq C$ does not imply quasi-normality. In section 3 we will construct a general counterexample for arbitrary $k \geq 2$, $\alpha > 1$ and $C > 0$. (For $k = 2$ and $\alpha = 3$ we had given such a counterexample already in [6].)

In fact, it turns out that this condition doesn't even imply Q_β-normality for any ordinal number β. (For the exact definition of Q_β-normality we refer to [12].) So there isn't a chance of extending Theorem 1 to the case $\alpha > 1$ even if one replaces the concept of quasi-normality by a weaker concept.

The same counterexample also shows that Theorem 1 cannot be extended in the spirit of the afore-mentioned results of Hinkkanen and Xu (Theorem A (b)). More precisely, a condition like

$$f(z) \in E \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \frac{|f^{(k)}|}{1+|f|}(z) \leq C$$

where E is any finite subset of $\mathbb{C}$ does not imply quasi-normality (and not even Q_β-normality). This is due to the fact that this condition is even weaker than $\frac{|f^{(k)}(z)|}{1+|f(z)|^\alpha} \leq C'$ for suitable $C' > 0$.

One crucial step in our proof of Theorem 1 consists in using the fact that also the reverse inequality $\frac{|f^{(k)}(z)|}{1+|f(z)|} \geq C$ implies quasi-normality [5]. This is one of the main results from our studies [1, 2, 5, 10] on meromorphic functions satisfying differential inequalities of the form $\frac{|f^{(k)}|}{1+|f|^\alpha}(z) \geq C$. These investigations were inspired by the observation that there is a counterpart to Marty's theorem in the following sense: A family of meromorphic functions whose spherical derivatives are bounded away from zero has to be normal [3, 14]. For the sake of completeness, we summarize the main results from those studies.

Theorem B. *Let $k \geq 1$ and $j \geq 0$ be integers and $C > 0$, $\alpha > 1$ be real numbers. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a family of meromorphic functions in some domain D.*

(a) *[2] If*

$$\frac{|f^{(k)}|}{1+|f|^{\alpha}}(z) \geq C \qquad \text{for all } z \in D \text{ and all } f \in \mathcal{F},$$

then $\mathcal{F}$ is normal.

(b) *[10, 5] If*

$$\frac{|f^{(k)}|}{1+|f|}(z) \geq C \qquad \text{for all } z \in D \text{ and all } f \in \mathcal{F},$$

then $\mathcal{F}$ is quasi-normal, but in general not normal.

(c) *[1] If $k > j$ and*

$$\frac{|f^{(k)}|}{1+|f^{(j)}|^{\alpha}}(z) \geq C \qquad \text{for all } z \in D \text{ and all } f \in \mathcal{F},$$

then $\mathcal{F}$ is quasi-normal in D. If all functions in $\mathcal{F}$ are holomorphic, $\mathcal{F}$ is quasi-normal of order at most $j-1$. (For $j=0$ and $j=1$ this means that it is normal.)

This does not hold for $\alpha = 1$ if $j \geq 1$.

2 Proof of Theorem 1

We apply induction. As mentioned above, the quasi-normality (in fact, even normality) of $\mathcal{F}_1$ follows from Hinkkanen's generalization of Marty's theorem.

Let some $k \geq 2$ be given and assume that it is already known that (on arbitrary domains) each of the conditions

$$\frac{|f^{(j)}(z)|}{1+|f(z)|} \leq C \qquad \text{where } j \in \{1, \ldots, k-1\}$$

implies quasi-normality.

Let $\{f_n\}_n$ be a sequence in $\mathcal{F}_k$ and z^* an arbitrary point in D. Suppose to the contrary that $\{f_n\}_n$ is not quasi-normal at z^*.

Case 1: There is an $m \in \{1, \ldots, k-1\}$ and a subsequence $\{f_{n_\ell}\}_\ell$ such that both $\left\{f_{n_\ell}^{(m)}\right\}_\ell$ and $\left\{\frac{f_{n_\ell}^{(m)}}{f_{n_\ell}}\right\}_\ell$ are normal at z^*.

Then (after turning to an appropriate subsequence which we again denote by $\{f_n\}_n$ rather than $\{f_{n_\ell}\}_\ell$) w.l.o.g. we may assume that in a certain disk $\Delta(z^*, r) =: U$ both sequences $\left\{f_n^{(m)}\right\}_n$ and $\left\{\frac{f_n^{(m)}}{f_n}\right\}_n$ converge *uniformly* (with respect to the spherical metric) to limit functions $H \in \mathcal{H}(U) \cup \{\infty\}$ and $L \in \mathcal{M}(U) \cup \{\infty\}$, respectively.

Case 1.1: H is holomorphic.

For each n we choose p_n to be the $(m-1)$'th Taylor polynomial of f_n at z^*, i.e. p_n has degree at most $m-1$ and satisfies $p_n^{(j)}(z^*) = f_n^{(j)}(z^*)$ for $j = 0, \dots, m-1$. Then f_n has the representation

$$f_n(z) = p_n(z) + \int_{z^*}^{z} \int_{z^*}^{\zeta_1} \dots \int_{z^*}^{\zeta_{m-1}} f_n^{(m)}(\zeta_m)\, d\zeta_m \dots d\zeta_1.$$

Here for $n \to \infty$

$$\int_{z^*}^{z} \int_{z^*}^{\zeta_1} \dots \int_{z^*}^{\zeta_{m-1}} f_n^{(m)}(\zeta_m)\, d\zeta_m \dots d\zeta_1 \overset{\chi}{\Longrightarrow} \int_{z^*}^{z} \int_{z^*}^{\zeta_1} \dots \int_{z^*}^{\zeta_{m-1}} H(\zeta_m)\, d\zeta_m \dots d\zeta_1 =: F(z)$$

where F is holomorphic in U. Since the family of polynomials of degree at most $m-1$ is quasi-normal (cf. [13, Theorem A.5]), we obtain the quasi-normality of $\{f_n\}_n$ at z^*.

Case 1.2: $L(z^*) \neq \infty$.

We choose $r_0 \in (0; r)$ such that $|L(z)| \leq |L(z^*)| + 1$ for all $z \in \Delta(z^*, r_0) =: U_0$.

Then for all $z \in U_0$ and all n large enough we have

$$\frac{|f_n^{(m)}|}{1+|f_n|}(z) \leq \frac{|f_n^{(m)}|}{|f_n|}(z) \leq |L(z)| + 1 \leq |L(z^*)| + 2$$

so by the induction hypothesis we obtain the quasi-normality of $\{f_n\}_n$ at z^*.

Case 1.3: $H \equiv \infty$ and $L(z^*) = \infty$. (This comprises the cases that $L \equiv \infty$ and that L is meromorphic with a pole at z^*.)

We choose $r_0 \in (0; r)$ such that $|L(z)| \geq 3$ for all $z \in \Delta(z^*, r_0) =: U_0$. Then for sufficiently large n, say for $n \geq n_0$, and all $z \in U_0$ we have

$$\left| \frac{f_n^{(m)}}{f_n}(z) \right| \geq |L(z)| - 1 \geq 2 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \left| f_n^{(m)}(z) \right| \geq 2.$$

Now fix an $n \geq n_0$ and a $z \in U_0$. If $|f_n(z)| \leq 1$, we get

$$\frac{|f_n^{(m)}|}{1+|f_n|}(z) \geq \frac{|f_n^{(m)}|}{2}(z) \geq 1.$$

If $|f_n(z)| \geq 1$, we get

$$\frac{|f_n^{(m)}|}{1+|f_n|}(z) \geq \frac{|f_n^{(m)}|}{2|f_n|}(z) \geq 1.$$

Combining both cases, we conclude that

$$\frac{|f_n^{(m)}|}{1+|f_n|}(z) \geq 1 \text{ for all } z \in U_0 \text{ and all } n \geq n_0.$$

so by Theorem B (b) we obtain the quasi-normality of $\{f_n\}_n$ at z^*.

Case 2: For each $j = 1, \dots, k-1$ and each subsequence $\{f_{n_\ell}\}_\ell$ at least one of the sequences $\left\{f_{n_\ell}^{(m)}\right\}_\ell$ and $\left\{\frac{f_{n_\ell}^{(m)}}{f_{n_\ell}}\right\}_\ell$ is not normal at z^*.

Then, after turning to an appropriate subsequence which we again denote by $\{f_n\}_n$, by Montel's theorem for all $j = 1, \dots, k-1$ we find sequences $\{w_{j,n}\}_n$ such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} w_{j,n} = z^*$ and such that for each n we have $\left|f_n^{(j)}(w_{j,n})\right| \le 1$ or $\left|\frac{f_n^{(j)}}{f_n}(w_{j,n})\right| \le 1$. Both cases can be unified by writing

$$\left|f_n^{(j)}(w_{j,n})\right| \le 1 + |f_n(w_{j,n})| \qquad \text{for all } j = 1, \dots, k-1 \text{ and all } n. \tag{2.1}$$

Furthermore, since $\{f_n\}_n$ is not quasi-normal, hence not normal at z^*, we may also assume that there is a sequence $\{w_{0,n}\}_n$ such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} w_{0,n} = z^*$ and $|f_n(w_{0,n})| \le 1$ for all n.

We choose $r > 0$ sufficiently small such that $\overline{\Delta(z^*, r)} \subseteq D$, $2r < 1$ and $\frac{4r\cdot(1+C)}{1-2r} \le 1$. Then there exists an $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \ge n_0$ and all $j = 0, \dots, k-1$ we have $w_{j,n} \in \Delta(z^*, r)$.

We use the notation

$$M(r, f) := \max_{|z-z^*|\le r} |f(z)| \qquad \text{for } f \in \mathcal{H}\left(\overline{\Delta(z^*, r)}\right)$$

and obtain for all $n \ge n_0$, all $j = 1, \dots, k-1$ and all $z \in \overline{\Delta(z^*, r)}$

$$\begin{aligned} |f_n^{(j)}(z)| &= \left|f_n^{(j)}(w_{j,n}) + \int_{[w_{j,n};z]} f_n^{(j+1)}(\zeta)d\zeta\right| \\ &\le \left|f_n^{(j)}(w_{j,n})\right| + |z - w_{j,n}| \cdot \max_{\zeta\in[w_{j,n};z]} |f_n^{(j+1)}(\zeta)| \\ &\le 1 + |f_n(w_{j,n})| + 2r \cdot M\left(r, f_n^{(j+1)}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where for the last estimate we have applied (2.1).

Since this holds for any $z \in \overline{\Delta(z^*, r)}$, we conclude that for all $n \ge n_0$ and all $j = 1, \dots, k-1$

$$M\left(r, f_n^{(j)}\right) \le 1 + M(r, f_n) + 2r \cdot M\left(r, f_n^{(j+1)}\right).$$

Similarly, in view of $|f_n(w_{0,n})| \le 1$ we also have

$$M\left(r, f_n\right) \le 1 + 2r \cdot M\left(r, f_n'\right).$$

Induction yields

$$\begin{aligned} M\left(r, f_n\right) &\le 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} (2r)^j \cdot (1 + M(r, f_n)) + (2r)^k \cdot M\left(r, f_n^{(k)}\right) \\ &\le \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (2r)^j + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} (2r)^j \cdot M(r, f_n) + (2r)^k \cdot C \cdot (1 + M(r, f_n)) \\ &\le C + \frac{1}{1-2r} + \frac{2r\cdot(1+C)}{1-2r} \cdot M(r, f_n) \\ &\le C + \frac{1}{1-2r} + \frac{1}{2} \cdot M(r, f_n) \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$M(r, f_n) \leq 2C + \frac{2}{1-2r}$$

for all $n \geq n_0$. Thus $\{f_n\}_{n\geq n_0}$ is uniformly bounded in $\Delta(z^*, r)$, hence normal at z^* by Montel's theorem.

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

3 A general counterexample

In this section we will show that for $\alpha > 1$ and $k \geq 2$ the differential inequality $\frac{|f^{(k)}(z)|}{1+|f(z)|^\alpha} \leq C$ does not imply quasi-normality. In [6] we had already given a counterexample for the case $k = 2$, $\alpha = 3$. We generalize this example to arbitrary $k \geq 2$, $\alpha > 1$ and $C > 0$.

For given $k_0 \geq 2$, $C > 0$ and $\alpha > 1$, we construct a sequence $\{f_n\}_n$ of holomorphic functions in $D := \Delta(0; 2)$ such that $\frac{|f_n^{(k_0)}(z)|}{1+|f_n(z)|^\alpha} \leq C$ for all $z \in D$ and all n, but $\{f_n\}_n$ is not quasi-normal in D.

First, take $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $1 < \frac{p}{q} < \min\{\alpha; 2\}$. The real function $h(x) := \dfrac{1+x^{p/q}}{1+x^\alpha}$ is continuous in $[0, \infty)$ with $\lim\limits_{x\to\infty} h(x) = 0$, hence there exists an $M > 0$ such that

$$\frac{1+x^{\frac{p}{q}}}{1+x^\alpha} \leq M \qquad \text{for all } x \geq 0 \tag{3.1}$$

Let $g_n(z) := z^n - 1$ for $n \geq 1$. The zeros of g_n are the n-th roots of unity $z_\ell^{(n)} = e^{2\pi i\ell/n}$ ($\ell = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1$), and they are all simple, $g_n'(z_\ell^{(n)}) \neq 0$. We consider the functions

$$h_n := g_n \cdot e^{p_n},$$

where the p_n are polynomials yet to be determined. Then

$$h_n' = e^{p_n}(g_n' + g_n p_n')$$

and

$$h_n'' = e^{p_n}\left(2g_n'p_n' + g_n p_n'^2 + g_n'' + g_n p_n''\right). \tag{3.2}$$

Our aim is to choose the p_n in such a way that for $\ell = 0, \ldots, n-1$

$$h_n''(z_\ell^{(n)}) = h_n^{(3)}(z_\ell^{(n)}) = \ldots = h_n^{(k_0+1)}(z_\ell^{(n)}) = 0. \tag{3.3}$$

We first deduce several constraints on the p_n that are sufficient for (3.3), and then – by an elementary result on Hermite interpolation – we will see that it is possible to satisfy these constraints with polynomials p_n of sufficiently large degree.

First, in order to get $h_n''(z_\ell^{(n)}) = 0$, in view of (3.2) we'll require that

$$p_n'(z_\ell^{(n)}) = -\frac{g_n''(z_\ell^{(n)})}{2g_n'(z_\ell^{(n)})} \qquad (\ell = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1). \tag{3.4}$$

In order to proceed we need the following lemma:

Lemma 2. *For every $k \geq 2$ we have*

$$h_n^{(k)} = e^{p_n}\left[kg_n'p_n^{(k-1)} + g_n\varphi_k(p_n', \ldots, p_n^{(k-1)}) + \psi_k(g_n', \ldots, g_n^{(k)}, p_n', \ldots, p_n^{(k-2)}) + g_np_n^{(k)}\right]$$

where $\varphi_k \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_{k-1}]$, $\psi_k \in \mathbb{C}[y_1, \ldots, y_k, x_1, \ldots, x_{k-2}]$ are polynomials.

Proof. We prove the lemma by induction on k. The base case $k = 2$ follows from (3.2) with $\varphi_2(x_1) = x_1^2$ and $\psi_2(y_1, y_2) = y_2$. Assume that the lemma holds for some $k \geq 2$. Then differentiating gives

$$\begin{aligned}
h_n^{(k+1)} \quad &= e^{p_n}\Big[\quad \underbrace{kg_n''p_n^{(k-1)}}\ + \boldsymbol{kg_n'p_n^{(k)}} + \underbrace{g_n'\varphi_k(p_n', \ldots, p_n^{(k-1)})} \\
&\qquad + \underbrace{g_n\sum_{m=1}^{k-1}\frac{\partial\varphi_k}{\partial x_m}(p_n', \ldots, p_n^{(k-1)}) \cdot p_n^{(m+1)}} \\
&\qquad + \underbrace{\sum_{m=1}^{k}\frac{\partial\psi_k}{\partial y_m}(g_n', \ldots, g_n^{(k)}, p_n', \ldots, p_n^{(k-2)}) \cdot g_n^{(m+1)}} \\
&\qquad + \underbrace{\sum_{m=1}^{k-2}\frac{\partial\psi_k}{\partial x_m}(g_n', \ldots, g_n^{(k)}, p_n', \ldots, p_n^{(k-2)}) \cdot p_n^{(m+1)}} + \boldsymbol{g_n'p_n^{(k)}} \\
&\qquad + g_np_n^{(k+1)} + \underbrace{kg_n'p_n'p_n^{(k-1)}} + \underbrace{g_np_n'\varphi_k(p_n', \ldots, p_n^{(k-1)})} \\
&\qquad + \underbrace{p_n'\psi_k(g_n', \ldots, g_n^{(k)}, p_n', \ldots, p_n^{(k-2)})} + \underbrace{g_np_n'p_n^{(k)}}\ \Big]. \\
&= e^{p_n} \cdot \Big[\ \boldsymbol{(k+1)g_n'p_n^{(k)}}\ + \underbrace{g_n\varphi_{k+1}(p_n', \ldots, p_n^{(k)})} \\
&\qquad + \underbrace{\psi_{k+1}(g_n', \ldots, g_n^{(k+1)}, p_n', \ldots, p_n^{(k-1)})} + g_np_n^{(k+1)}\Big].
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\varphi_{k+1}(x_1, \ldots, x_k) := \sum_{m=1}^{k-1}\frac{\partial\varphi_k}{\partial x_m}(x_1, \ldots, x_{k-1}) \cdot x_{m+1} + x_1\varphi_k(x_1, \ldots, x_{k-1}) + x_1x_k,$$

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_{k+1}(y_1,\dots,y_{k+1},x_1,\dots,x_{k-1}) &:= ky_2x_{k-1}+y_1\varphi_k(x_1,\dots,x_{k-1})\\ &\quad+\sum_{m=1}^{k}\frac{\partial\psi_k}{\partial y_m}(y_1,\dots,y_k,x_1,\dots,x_{k-2})\cdot y_{m+1}\\ &\quad+\sum_{m=1}^{k-2}\frac{\partial\psi_k}{\partial x_m}(y_1,\dots,y_k,x_1,\dots,x_{k-2})\cdot x_{m+1}\\ &\quad+ky_1x_1x_{k-1}+x_1\psi_k(y_1,\dots,y_k,x_1,\dots,x_{k-2})\end{aligned}$$

are indeed polynomials of the requested form.

Hence the lemma holds for $k+1$ as well. ■

Now we inductively determine the required values of $p_n^{(k)}(z_\ell^{(n)})$ for $k=2,\dots,k_0$ and $\ell=0,\dots,n-1$. For given $k\in\{2,\dots,k_0\}$, let's assume that we already know the values of $p_n'(z_\ell^{(n)}),\dots,p_n^{(k-1)}(z_\ell^{(n)})$ that ensure $h_n''(z_\ell^{(n)})=\dots=h_n^{(k)}(z_\ell^{(n)})=0$ for all admissible ℓ. (Note that the required values of $p_n'(z_\ell^{(n)})$ have been found in (3.4).)

In order to find the values of $p_n^{(k)}(z_\ell^{(n)})$ (which ensure $h_n^{(k+1)}(z_\ell^{(n)})=0$), we apply Lemma 2 with $k+1$ in place of k and obtain the condition

$$p_n^{(k)}(z_\ell^{(n)})=-\frac{\psi_{k+1}(g_n',\dots,g_n^{(k+1)},p_n',\dots,p_n^{(k-1)})}{(k+1)g_n'}(z_\ell^{(n)}). \tag{3.5}$$

(Observe that evaluating the right hand side requires only the knowledge of values of $p_n',\dots,p_n^{(k-1)}$ that have been previously determined.)

It is well known (see, for example [15, p.52]) that for every $n\geq 1$ the conditions (3.4) and (3.5) (for $k=2,\dots,k_0$) can be achieved with a polynomial p_n of degree at most nk_0-1.

In this way we obtain

$$h_n''(z_\ell^{(n)})=\dots=h_n^{(k_0+1)}(z_\ell^{(n)})=0.$$

In particular, each $z_\ell^{(n)}$ is a zero of $h_n^{(k_0)}$ of multiplicity ≥ 2.

Now, the functions $\dfrac{{h_n^{(k_0)}}^q}{h_n^p}$ are entire: h_n^p is entire, and its zeros $z_\ell^{(n)}$ $(\ell=0,1,\dots,n-1)$ have multiplicity p, while ${h_n^{(k_0)}}^q$ has zeros at $z_\ell^{(n)}$ of multiplicity at least $2q>p$. Thus $c_n:=\max_{z\in\overline{D}}\left|\dfrac{\left(h_n^{(k_0)}\right)^q}{h_n^p}(z)\right|<\infty$. Define now for every $n\geq 1$

$$f_n:=a_n\cdot h_n,$$

where $a_n>0$ is a large enough constant such that both

$$a_n\geq\left(\frac{c_n\cdot M^q}{C^q}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-q}}\qquad\text{i.e.}\qquad\frac{c_n}{a_n^{p-q}}\leq\left(\frac{C}{M}\right)^q \tag{3.6}$$

and $f_n \overset{\chi}{\Longrightarrow} \infty$ on $\mathbb{C}\backslash\partial\Delta(0;1)$; the latter can be achieved by choosing

$$a_n \geq \frac{n}{\min\left\{|h_n(z)| : |z| \leq 1 - \frac{1}{n} \text{ or } 1 + \frac{1}{n} \leq |z| \leq n\right\}}.$$

Then $\{f_n\}_n$ is not quasi-normal in D (as it is not normal at each point of $\partial\Delta(0;1)$), yet satisfies

$$\frac{|f_n^{(k_0)}(z)|}{1+|f_n(z)|^\alpha} \leq C \qquad \text{for all } z \in D.$$

Indeed, for all $z \in D$ we have

$$\left(\frac{|f_n^{(k_0)}|}{1+|f_n|^{\frac{p}{q}}}\right)^q(z) \leq \frac{|f_n^{(k_0)}|^q}{1+|f_n|^p}(z) \leq \frac{|f_n^{(k_0)}|^q}{|f_n|^p}(z) = \frac{a_n^q \cdot \left|h_n^{(k_0)}\right|^q}{a_n^p \cdot |h_n|^p}(z) \leq \frac{c_n}{a_n^{p-q}} \leq \left(\frac{C}{M}\right)^q$$

where the last inequality is just (3.6). Therefore,

$$\frac{|f_n^{(k_0)}|}{1+|f_n|^{\frac{p}{q}}}(z) \leq \frac{C}{M} \qquad \text{for all } z \in D,$$

and together with (3.1) we conclude that

$$\frac{|f_n^{(k_0)}|}{1+|f_n|^\alpha}(z) = \frac{|f_n^{(k_0)}|}{1+|f_n|^{\frac{p}{q}}}(z) \cdot \frac{1+|f_n|^{\frac{p}{q}}}{1+|f_n|^\alpha}(z) \leq \frac{C}{M} \cdot M = C \qquad \text{for all } z \in D,$$

as desired.

Remark. Actually, we have shown something stronger: The condition $\frac{|f^{(k)}(z)|}{1+|f(z)|^\alpha} \leq C$ doesn't even imply Q_β-normality for any ordinal number β since the constructed sequence $\{f_n\}_n$ and all of its subsequences are not normal at any point of the continuum $\partial\Delta(0;1)$.

References

[1] Bar, R.; Grahl, J; Nevo, S.: *Differential inequalities and quasi-normal families*, Anal. Math. Phys. **4** (2014), 63-71

[2] Chen, Q.; Nevo, S.; Pang, X.-C.: *A general differential inequality of the kth derivative that leads to normality*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. **38** (2013), 691-695

[3] Grahl, J.; Nevo, S.: *Spherical derivatives and normal families*, J. Anal. Math. **117** (2012), 119-128

[4] Grahl, J.; Nevo, S.: *An extension of one direction in Marty's normality criterion*, Monatsh. Math. **174** (2014), 205-217

[5] Grahl, J.; Nevo, S.: *Quasi-normality induced by differential inequalities*, arXiv:1608.04947

[6] Grahl, J.; Nevo, S.; Pang, X.-C.: *A non-explicit counterexample to a problem of quasi-normality*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **406** (2013), 386-391

[7] Hinkkanen, A.: *Normal families and Ahlfors's Five Island Theorem* , New Zealand J. Math. **22** (1993), 39-41.

[8] Lappan, P.: *A criterion for a meromorphic function to be normal*, Comment. Math. Helv. **49**, 492-495 (1974)

[9] Li, S.Y.; Xie, H.: *On normal families of meromorphic functions*, Acta Math. Sin. **4** (1986), 468-476.

[10] Liu, X.J., Nevo, S. and Pang, X.C.: *Differential inequalities, normality and quasi-normality*, Acta Math. Sin., Engl. Ser. **30** (2014), 277-282

[11] Marty, F.: *Recherches sur la répartition des valeurs d'une fonction méromorphe*, Ann. Fac. Sci. Univ. Toulouse (3) **23**, 183-261 (1931)

[12] Nevo, S.: *Transfinite extension to Q_m-normality theory*, Results Math. **44** (2003), 141-156

[13] Schiff, J.: *Normal Families*, Springer, New York 1993

[14] Steinmetz, N.: *Normal families and linear differential equations*, J. Anal. Math. **117** (2012), 129-132

[15] Stoer, J.; Bulirsch, R., *Introduction to Numerical Analysis,* Springer-Verlag, New York 1980

[16] Xu, Y.: *On the five-point theorems due to Lappan*, Ann. Pol. Math. **101**, 227-235 (2011)

Jürgen Grahl
University of Würzburg
Department of Mathematics
97074 Würzburg
Germany
e-mail: grahl@mathematik.uni-wuerzburg.de

Tomer Manket
Bar-Ilan University
Department of Mathematics
Ramat-Gan 52900
Israel
e-mail: tomer.manket@live.biu.ac.il

Shahar Nevo
Bar-Ilan University
Department of Mathematics
Ramat-Gan 52900
Israel
e-mail: nevosh@math.biu.ac.il